

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3996477>

Dermatoglyphics in common: genetic disorders and cancers

Harem Othman Smail

Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Health, Koya University Koya KOY45, Kurdistan Region-F.R., Iraq

E-mail: harem.othman@koyauniversity.org

Received: 20 June 2020; Revised submission: 02 August 2020; Accepted: 21 August 2020



<http://www.journals.tmkarpinski.com/index.php/mmed>

Copyright: © The Author(s) 2020. Licensee Joanna Bródka, Poland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

ABSTRACT: This review article offers information to deal with fingerprints within the most prevalent genetic disorders and cancers. Dermatoglyphic refers to the formation of present ridges in some body parts like fingers, palm, soles, and toes; these ridges unchanged throughout life. There are three sorts of fingerprint patterns: Arches, loops, and whorls. The most pattern of dermatoglyphics is related to genetic disorders as in Down syndrome and Klinefelter's syndrome and applied in medicinal studies and also useful within the diagnosis of the many genetic disorders and a few sorts of cancers. Ridge count one among the foremost important parameters which will be advantaged for determine some genetic disorder and cancers like thalassemia, carcinoma, thyroid, and cervical cancers, but there are controversial from studies to studies not confirmed altogether research. Another parameter could also be differences in some sorts of cancers and statistically significant, for instance in early diagnosis of prostate cancer.

Keywords: Dermatoglyphic; Fingerprint patterns; Ridges; Diagnosis; ATD angles.

1. INTRODUCTION

Dactylography or the fingerprint machine relies on the examination of stratum ridges and their configurations [Dermatoglyphics (Derma = skin + Glyph = carving)] in the fingers, palms, and sole [1]. The two most significant capabilities of fingerprints which shape the premise of identity are the distinctiveness and staying power of the friction ridge skin [2]. Dermatoglyphics has been used extensively in fields of medicine as a non-invasive diagnostic device and an early assessment of danger for specific medical conditions [3]. In the latest years, interest within the clinical utility of dermatoglyphic evaluation has increased a few of the clinicians [4]. They are formed, especially at some stage in the second one trimester of being pregnant, had been used as markers of developmental disturbances [5]. The improvement laid low with a couple of genes throughout embryogenesis results within the formation of a perpetually particular pattern persisting at some point in the lifespan of an individual. However, certain congenital and acquired conditions can affect the integrity of dermatoglyphics [6].

Three the most important patterns in the human fingerprints include-loops, whorls, and arches [7, 8]. The function pattern of epidermal ridges develops in mammals at some point in fetal lifestyles and stays

unchanged during life [9]. Studies based on dermatoglyphics located that the complexity of fingerprint styles could determine the genotypic developments of physical aptitude [10].

2. DERMATOGLYPHICS IN DOWN SYNDROME AND KLINEFELTER SYNDROME

Cummins in 1926 first delivered the term “dermatoglyphics”, which refers to the take a look at the naturally taking place styles of the floor of the palms and feet. Over the past a hundred and fifty years, dermatoglyphics has been a useful device in expertise fundamental questions in biology, medicine, genetics, and evolution, in addition to being the excellent and most broadly used approach for non-public identification [11]. Relation of dermatoglyphics traits with some of the illnesses like Down's syndrome, Alzheimer's disease, a couple of types of sclerosis, and schizophrenia has been studied [12].

Down syndrome (DS) is the most not unusual motive of intellectual retardation. The frequency of DS patients is ready 1:800 and is mainly because of the presence of an extra replica of chromosome-wide variety 21 [13]. However, Klinefelter Syndrome (KS) is suggested to be the most not unusual sex chromosome disorder within the males. It has a prevalence of 150/100,000 males. The primary pathology is the presence of a further X-chromosome in a male [14]. Considering the mothers' fingerprints, we suspected that girls with a higher frequency of arches and a lower frequency of whorls had a stronger opportunity of bearing trisomy 21 babies. On the alternative hand, in fathers of trisomy 21 children, we considered that there could be an opportunity of significant variations of cases within the sample had been increased [15]. On the opposite hand, dermatoglyphics investigations indicated that in Down syndrome, simian line, ulnar loops, whorl [16]. There were significant differences in radial loops and whorls ($p < 0.05$), and there were very highly significant differences in arches ($p < 0.001$) in Klinefelter syndrome patients as compared to controls [17]. Also, a significant increase of whorls in Klinefelter's syndrome patients (66.7%) as compared to controls (35.0%) was observed. A study reported an identical finding on Japanese patients with Klinefelter's syndrome [18].

3. DERMATOGLYPHICS OF CYSTIC FIBROSIS

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is an autosomal recessive disease within the white population, which frequently results in early death if left untreated [19]. There are differences within the populations, ethnicities, and countries in terms of distribution and mutation status. In Caucasian, one out of each 3,500 newborn children suffers from the disease, and one out of 30 of them has a severe mutation for the CFTR gene [20]. Kobylisky et al. reported significant differences in fingerprint pattern types. They showed that arches' fingerprint patterns were higher in CF females in contrast to higher loop patterns in CF males [21]. However, dermatoglyphics studies haven't yet been undertaken on CF patients, and therefore the findings of this study can only be compared with publications concerning other monogenic diseases, either recessive or dominant [22].

Palm prints give more information than the fingerprint. Dermatoglyphic characteristics help identify of CF [23]. A search of clinical examination of the hands revealed some small whitish plaques on the palms, alongside mild wrinkling [24]. The high sweat salt concentrations as in CF-associated aquagenic keratoderma contributes to an increased water holding capacity of the attractive layer a rise of natural moisturizing factor (NMF) would end in higher water holding capacity of the human epidermis but would require time for synthesis and transport [25].

4. DERMATOGLYPHIC PATTERNS IN HEMOPHILIA AND BETA-THALASSEMIA

Hemophilia, the most severe hereditary chronic bleeding condition, is an X-linked recessive disease with a prevalence of around 1 in 5000 newborn boys around the world (10 in 100,000 people) [26]. The two main sorts of hemophilia are A and B. Hemophilia A (also referred to as classic hemophilia) and hemophilia B (also referred to as Christmas disease). About 9 out of 10 people that have hemophilia have A. Although the two types have very similar signs and symptoms, mutations in several genes cause them. Hemophilia affects males far more often than females [27]. The study showed that the amount of loop fingerprints altogether fingers of hemophilic patients is lower, and therefore the numbers of whorl and unknown types altogether fingers are above normal persons. So, it is often applied as a simple, inexpensive, and proper screening method for the diagnosis of hemophilic patients, particularly patients with spontaneous point mutation [28].

Beta-thalassemias are a gaggle of hereditary blood disorders characterized by anomalies within the synthesis of the beta chains of hemoglobin, leading to variable phenotypes starting from severe anemia to clinically asymptomatic individuals [29]. The high prevalence is present in populations within the Mediterranean, Middle-East, Transcaucasus, Central Asia, Indian subcontinent, and much East. It's also relatively common in populations of African descent. The very best incidences are reported in Cyprus (14%), Sardinia (12%), and southeast Asia [30].

Several reports on the genetic diversity of people with reference to fingerprints have focused on the association between fingerprints and various diseases [31]. There is a well-documented relationship between dermatoglyphics and specific syndromes of genetic origins. Since beta-thalassemia may be a major genetic disease in West Bengal, India, therefore, rapid diagnosis of major beta-thalassemia alongside certain preventive measures is of utmost significance [32]. Dermatoglyphics was helpful for the diagnosis of beta-thalassemia patients worldwide [33]. Also, from the best research showed that there's a significant difference in mean of AFRC and TFRC in the thalassemic patient in comparison with control [34]. On the other hand, supported the results of some researchers from India showed that they were found that whorls were the most frequent pattern on digit IV in patients and controls, with statistical significance in both hands, right (p-value 0.042) and left (p-value 0.010). Ulnar loops had preponderance over other patterns on digit V in both the groups, but the difference was statistically significant in the left (p-value 0.033) [35]. While other research conducted that Loop patterns are more in male thalassemias in third and fifth digits. Whorls pattern, Furuhata's index, Dankmejer's index, total finger ridge count, and absolute finger ridge count are higher in thalassemic of both sexes [36]. However, the study found there was no significant difference in the ridge count between patients and the control group ($p > 0.05$). However, there was a significant difference in the patterns between patients and the control group ($p < 0.05$). The number of whorl patterns in β -thalassemia major patients was 53,5%, it was greater than that in normal individuals (20,5%). Loop patterns were less frequent in β -thalassemia major patients (34%) compared to normal individuals (75,5%). Arch patterns had no significant difference between patients and control group ($p > 0.05$) [37].

5. BREAST CANCER AND DERMATOGLYPHICS

Cancer may be a collection of diseases discriminate by the abandoned progress and spread of abnormal cells; it's the second leading explanation for death after cardiovascular diseases [38]. Carcinoma is one of the well-known malignant tumors among women. In spite of attempts to classifying carcinoma consistent with its histological and molecular properties [39]. The results of the study indicated statistically significant changes in finger ridge count and fingertip pattern in cases of carcinoma breast as compared to the control group [40].

There was a big increase within the occurrence of whorl patterns and the total finger ridge count among the breast carcinoma patients [41]. Other research showed that it had been observed that radial and ulnar loops, whorls, and arches in left showed statistically significant differences. Among the quantitative parameters' abridge count, and ATD angle showed statistically significant difference [42]. Seltzer et al. reported the low frequency of the arches within the carcinoma breast patients as compared within the controls (cases-5.6% vs. control 10.0%). Abbasi et al. reported a decrease in the frequency of the arches. Sridevi et al. reported a big decrease within the arch pattern on digits II, III, and IV [43]. The number of whorl patterns and therefore the breakdown by classification group didn't differ significantly between the carcinoma and normal.

Additionally, no significant difference was found within the dermatoglyphics patterns of the ladies with carcinoma with and without a case history of carcinoma [44]. Nevertheless, digital dermatoglyphic patterns were analyzed between the patients and the control group of people, which showed statistical differences [45]. However, the results showed increasing within the number of arches, radial loops, ulnar loops, and whorls in the cancer group as compared with the control group. This increase didn't reach a significant level ($P \leq 0.05$) [46]. The ATD angle and, therefore the b-c ridge count was increased in carcinoma patients as compared with the healthy subject [47]. Palmar dermatoglyphics may have a future role in identifying women at increased risk with carcinoma [48]. Presence of an ulnar or radial whorl or an arch on six or quite six fingertips, including an absence of a radial loop and central whorl, is strongly related to carcinoma breast [49]. The pattern of fingerprints could also be a useful gizmo within the future in identifying and also screening of carcinoma [50].

6. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FINGERPRINT PATTERN AND SOME OTHER CANCERS

In the thyroid cancer subjects had a lower total digital ridge count (TDRC) and a reduced number of papillar ridges between the a-d triradii, than the control subjects [51]. However, in cervical cancer which showed a significant increase in the frequency of whorls & total finger ridge count in both hands & increases in the frequency of arches in left, whereas there was a significant decrease in ATD angle, t-d ridge count & frequency of ulnar loops in both hands of females having carcinoma of the cervix as compared to controls [52]. On the opposite hand, the results obtained seem to demonstrate that dermatoglyphics isn't an honest diagnostic tool for cervical cancer [53].

Dermatoglyphic patterns may have a task in identifying individuals either with or in danger for developing oral leukoplakia and oral epithelial cell carcinoma [54]. It had been observed that there was a significant difference between the 2 groups in terms of their B-C ridge counts ($p < 0.05$) in both hands. Also, the A-B ridge count showed a significant difference between the groups on the left ($p < 0.05$), and also there was a significant difference within the ATD angles of the proper hand ($p < 0.05$) between the groups. The results might be of importance in the early diagnosis of prostatic adenocarcinoma [55].

The studies upon the opposite figures (TFRC and therefore the position of the axial tri-radius) don't show any differences. It seems to us that the dermatoglyphic studies of men with bronchial carcinoma differ from controls [56]. The mean number of loops and therefore the mean number of total ridge count was found to be higher just in case of subjects with potentially malignant disorders and oral carcinoma in comparison with controls. Arches were seen predominantly in patients with carcinoma. The right ATD angle was lower in subjects without deleterious habit and potentially malignant disorders. These findings were highly significant statistically [57]. In both hands of patients with carcinoma cervix, there was a substantial reduction in the frequency of ulnar loops & t-d ridge count as compared with controls. At the same time, there was no

significant difference between patients & controls within the frequency of radial loops, arches, interdigital area patterns & a-b, b-c, c-d ridge counts [58].

7. CONCLUSIONS

From this review, it can be reached the subsequent conclusions:

1. There are a variety of genetic disorders and diseases acquired, which would be the impact of dermatoglyphic patterns.
2. Dermatoglyphics is also used as a diagnostic test for a particular condition and disease.

Conflict of Interest: The author declares no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Smail HO, Wahab DA, Abdullah ZY. Relationship between pattern of fingerprints and blood groups. *J Adv Lab Res Biol.* 2019; 10(3): 84-90.
2. Baryah N, Krishan K. Exploration of digital dermatoglyphics of two ethnicities of North India - forensic and anthropological aspects. *Forensic Sci Int Rep.* 2020; 2: 100055.
3. Wijerathne BTB, Meier RJ, Salgado SS, Agampodi SB. Qualitative and quantitative dermatoglyphics of chronic kidney disease of unknown origin (CKDu) in Sri Lanka. *J Physiol Anthropol.* 2020; 39(1): 1.
4. Smail HO, Kareem SS, Abdulkareem NM. Comparative study of the fingerprint pattern among diabetic (type 1) & non-diabetic children in Koya City. *J Adv Lab Res Biol.* 2019; 10(2): 41-47.
5. Andreu-Fernández V, Planas S, Navarro-Tapia E, Rosa A, García-Algar O. Dermatoglyphic fluctuating asymmetry and total a-b ridge count as biomarkers of Foetal Alcohol Syndrome: analysis in children adopted from Eastern Europe. *Early Human Develop.* 2020; 143: 104999.
6. Sarfraz N. Adermatoglyphia: barriers to biometric identification and the need for a standardized alternative. *Cureus.* 2019; 11(2): e4040.
7. Sharma S, Mathur S. The study of dermatoglyphic analysis in coronary artery disease patients. *Int J Res Rev.* 2020; 7(2): 459-461.
8. Thakur A, Tiwari JYG. Fingerprint patterns in relation to gender and blood group among residents of Central Indian District. *Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol.* 2020; 13(3): 73-77.
9. Adenowo KT, Eweoya OO, Olaniyan OT, Ajayi A. Dermatoglyphic appraisal of multiple births women in Igbo-Ora and Ogbomosho, South west, Nigeria. *Anat J Afr.* 2020; 9(1): 1744-1751.
10. Nodari Júnior RJ, Vale RG de S, Alberti A, Souza R, Fin G, Dantas EHM. Dermatoglyphic traits of Brazilian golfers. *J Phys Educ.* 2020; 31(1): e3103.
11. Masthan KMK, Babu N, Krupaa R, Anitha N. Dermatoglyphics - a review. *Biomed Pharmacol J.* 2015; 8: 417-420.
12. Nezhad HR, Shah NM. Application of dermatoglyphic traits for diagnosis of diabetic type 1 patients. *Int J Environ Sci Develop.* 2010; 1(1): 36-39.
13. Masjkey D, Bhattacharya S, Dhungel S, et al. Utility of phenotypic dermal indices in the detection of Down syndrome patients. *Nepal Med Coll J.* 2007; 9(4): 217-221.
14. Aliyu S, Ningi AB, Babayo UD, et al. Klinefelter syndrome in an identical twin: A case report and review of literature. *Case Rep Rev Open Access.* 2020; 1(1): 107.
15. Matsuyama N, Ito Y. The frequency of fingerprint type in parents of children with trisomy 21 in Japan. *J Physiol Anthropol.* 2006; 25(1): 15-21.

16. Hasanzadeh Nazarabadi M, Raoufian R, Aboutorabi R, Hosseini Hb. Dermatoglyphic assessment in Down and Klinefelter Syndromes. *Iran J Med Sci.* 2007; 32(2): 105-109.
17. Sirri Cam F, Gul D, Tunca Y, et al. Analysis of the dermatoglyphics in Turkish patients with Klinefelter's syndrome: Dermatoglyphics in Klinefelter's syndrome. *Hereditas.* 2008; 145(4): 163-166.
18. Lakshmana N, Nayyar A, Pavani Bv, Ratnam MVR, Upendra G. Revival of dermatoglyphics: Syndromes and disorders, a review. *Adv Hum Biol.* 2017; 7(1): 2.
19. Kianifar Hamidreza, Ezzati A, Jafari SA, et al. Identification of dermatoglyphics pattern in parents of children with cystic fibrosis. *Int J Pediatr.* 2017; 51(6): 7277-7284.
20. Hassanzad M, Ansari M, Valinejadi A, Sayedi SJ, Velayati AA. Cystic fibrosis patients evaluation in the last decades in Iran. *Int J Pediatr.* 2019; 68(7): 9997-10007.
21. Ezzati A, Batoei F, Jafari S-A, et al. Dermatoglyphic patterns in cystic fibrosis children. *Iran J Pediatr.* 2014; 24(5): 609-616.
22. Kobylansky E, Bejerano M, Yakovenko K, Katznelson MB-M. Relationship between genetic anomalies of different levels and deviations in dermatoglyphic traits. Part 5: Dermatoglyphic peculiarities of males and females with Cystic Fibrosis. Family study. *Zeitschrift Morphol Anthropol.* 1999; 82(2/3): 249-302.
23. Johri V, Raizada A, Takiar R. Evaluation of palmar angles in carcinoma breast patients and in normal healthy females. *Int J Anat Radiol Surg.* 2020; 9(1): AO01-AO03.
24. Lacarrubba F, Verzi AE, Leonardi S, Trovato G, Micali G. Palmar wrinkling: Identification of a peculiar pattern at incident light dermoscopy with confocal microscopy correlation. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2016; 75(4): e143-e145.
25. Tchernev G, Semkova K, Cardoso JC, Ananiev JJ, Wollina U. Aquagenic keratoderma. Two new case reports and a new hypothesis. *Indian Dermatol Online J.* 2014; 5(1): 30-33.
26. Jamaliam M, Mesri M, Vishteh HRK, Solhi H, Salehpour, R. Fingerprints: A simple method for screening hemophilic patients. *Bangl Med Res Council Bull.* 2014; 40(2): 85-88.
27. Tirunagari S, Shaik D. Hemophilia & acquired hemophilia. *Webmed Central Clin Trials.* 2013; 4: WMC004048.
28. Jamaliam M, Mesri M, Khoddami Vishteh Hr, Solhi H, Salehpour R. Comparison of fingerprint of hemophilic patients and normal population. *J Babol Univ Medi Sci.* 2013; 15(5): 65-71.
29. Galanello R, Origa R. Beta-thalassemia. *Orphanet J Rare Dis.* 2010; 5(1): 11.
30. Cao A, Galanello R. Beta-thalassemia. *Genet Med.* 2010; 12(2): 61-76.
31. Yang X, Xiaojun J, Yixuan Z, Hui L. Genetic rules for the dermatoglyphics of human fingertips and their role in spouse selection: a preliminary study. *Springer Plus.* 2016; 5(1): 1396.
32. Das P, Ghosh JR, Bandyopadhyay AR. Association of finger ridge pattern and e-beta-thalassemia: a study on Bengalee population of West Bengal, India. *Adv Antropol.* 2015; 5(1): 19-21.
33. Dogramaci AC, Savas N, Bagriacik MA. Dermatoglyphs in beta-thalassemia. *Coll Antropol.* 2009; 33: 607-611.
34. Shridhar Ganorkar DY, Kawale DS, Pandit DSV, Kumar Jha DR. Study of dermatoglyphics in thalassemia major in Vidharbha Region by quantitative analysis of finger print. *IOSR.* 2016; 15(08): 79-84.
35. Paul G, Kalyan GS, Kaur H, Chhabra U. Dermatoglyphic pattern on fingertips of thalassemia patients: a case control study in North Indian population. *IJAR.* 2018; 6(2.3): 5322-5338.

36. Mahato LO, Naidu SS, Rangari KA, Rahule AS, Bashir MS. Comparative study of finger print pattern in thalassemic population of Vidarbha Region of India. *Medico-Legal Update*. 2013; 13(1): 40-44.
37. Handayani S, Wiyono N. Relationship between dermatoglyphic patterns and β -thalassemia major disease. *Nexus Kedokteran Translasional*. 2015; 4(2).
38. Smail HO. Qualitative and quantitative identification of DNA methylation changes in blood of the breast cancer patients. 2016.
39. Ezzati M, Yousefi B, Velaei K, Safa A. A review on anti-cancer properties of quercetin in breast cancer. *Life Sci*. 2020; 248: 117463.
40. Sridevi NS, Delphine Silvia CRW, Kulkarni R, Seshagiri C. Palmar dermatoglyphics in carcinoma breast of Indian women. *Rom J Morphol Embryol*. 2010; 51(3): 547-550.
41. Madhavi D, Dorairaj S, Dorairaj SS, Kommuru H. Dermatoglyphic study in breast carcinoma patients. *Int J Sci Res*. 2016; 10: 6-8.
42. Sukre SB, Laeeque M, Mahajan A, Shewale SN. Dermatoglyphics in the identification of women either with or at risk of breast cancer. *Int J Basic Med Sci*. 2012; 3(5): 160-165.
43. Raizada A, Johri V, Ramnath T, Chowdhary DS, Garg RP. A cross-sectional study on the palmar dermatoglyphics in relation to carcinoma breast patients. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2013; 7(4): 609.
44. Sariri E, Kashanian M, Vahdat M, Yari S. Comparison of the dermatoglyphic characteristics of women with and without breast cancer. *Eur J Obstetrics Gynecol Reproduct Biol*. 2012; 160(2): 201-204.
45. Abilasha S, Harisudha R, Janaki CS. Dermatoglyphics: a predictor tool to analyze the occurrence of breast cancer. *Int J Med Res Health Sci*. 2014; 3(1): 28-31.
46. Ajeena EH. Study The advantage of dermatoglyphics in detecting women with breast cancer. *Al-Kufa Univ J Biol*. 2015; 7(3): 1-3.
47. Musanovic J, Metovic A, Pepic E, Kapic D, Cosovic E, Rebic D, Lepara O. Predictive values of quantitative analysis of finger and palmar dermatoglyphics in patients with breast cancer for Bosnian-Herzegovinian population. *J Evol Med Dent Sci*. 2018; 7(24): 2855-2860.
48. Yaneva G, Dimitrova T, Cherneva D, Ivanova N, Maslarski I, Sivkov S, Ivanov D. Comparative dermatoglyphic study of the palmar ridge count in breast carcinoma patients from Northeast Bulgaria. *Acta Morphol Anthropol*. 2018; 25(1-2): 86-92.
49. Paranjape V, Kundalkar A, Swamy PV, Kulkarni Y. Digital dermatoglyphics in carcinoma breast. *Int J Curr Res Rev*. 2015; 7(21): 47.
50. Haggag AA, Ibrahim Khalil AM, Elzahed ESE, Abdelhamid MI. study of fingerprints pattern in breast cancer patients insharkia governorate, a case-control retrospective clinical study. *Zagazig Univ Med J*. 2018; 24(1): 66-71.
51. Ciovirnache M, Dumitriu L, Mogoş I, Spandonide T, Damian A, Handoca A, Stoenescu D. Dermatoglyphics in thyroid cancer. *Endocrinologie*. 1986; 24(3): 171-183.
52. Inamdar VV, Vaidya SA, Kulkarni P, Devarshi DB, Kulkarni S, Tungikar SL. Dermatoglyphics in carcinoma cervix. *J Anat Soc India*. 2006; 55(1): 57-59.
53. Floris G, Sanciu MG, Sanna EM. Dermatoglyphics in pathology with emphasis on breast cancer and cervix carcinoma: some results. *Int J Anthropol*. 1990; 5(2): 125-128.
54. Milicic J, Pavicevic R, Halbauer M, Sarcevic B. Analysis of qualitative dermatoglyphic traits of the digito-palmar complex in carcinomas. *The State of Dermatoglyphics: The Science of Finger and Palm Prints*; Durham, NM, Fox, KM, Plato, CC, Eds. 2000:53-72.

55. Oladipo GS, Sapira MK, Ekeke ON, Oyakhire M, Chinwo E, Apiafa B, Osogba IG. Dermatoglyphics of prostate cancer patients. *Curr Res J Biol Sci.* 2009; 1(3): 131-134.
56. Kleisbauer JP, Bettendorf A, Poirier R, Colonna J, Rideller B, Laval P. Dermatoglyphics of subjects with bronchial cancers. *Revue Francaise Maladies Respirat.* 1980; 8(3): 247-252.
57. David MP, Sinha P. Dermatoglyphic patterns in subjects with potentially malignant disorders and oral carcinoma. *J Adv Clin Res Insights.* 2015; 2(1): 7-11.
58. Kashinathappa BS. Study of palmar dermatoglyphics in carcinoma of cervix. *Int J Curr Res Rev.* 2013; 5(4): 136.